California Regional Water Quality Control Board

Los Angeles Region

Over 50 Years Serving Coastal Los Angeles and Ventura Counties Recipient of the 2001 *Environmental Leadership Award* from Keep California Beautiful



320 W. 4th Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90013 Phone (213) 576-6600 FAX (213) 576-6640 Internet Address: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/~rwqcb4

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT REQUIREMENTS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (hereinafter referred to as the Regional Board) is the Lead Agency for evaluating the environmental impacts of the proposed amendment to the *Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan)*, to incorporate the Calleguas Creek Chloride TMDL. The Secretary of Resources has certified the basin planning process as exempt from certain requirements under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), including preparation of an initial study, a negative declaration, and environmental impact report (California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15251). As this proposed amendment to the *Basin Plan* is part of the basin planning process, the amendment process is considered 'functionally equivalent' to an initial study, negative declaration, and environmental impact report.

Any regulatory program of the Regional Board certified as functionally equivalent, however, must satisfy the documentation requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 3777(a) which requires the following:

- An Environmental Checklist with a Description of the Proposed Activity;
- A Determination with respect to significant environmental impacts;
- A completed environmental checklist; and
- A written report providing:

Winston H. Hickox

Secretary for

Environmental

Protection

- A description of the proposed activity;
- Reasonable alternatives; and
- Mitigation measures to minimize any significant adverse impacts.

The attached checklist, staff report, and resolution to incorporate the Calleguas Creek Chloride Total Maximum Daily Load into the *Basin Plan* fulfill the requirements specified under section 3777.

I. Description of Proposed Activity

As required by Section 303(d) the federal Clean Water Act, the Regional Board has prepared a Total Maximum Daily Load for segments of Calleguas Creek for which effluent limits in existing permits were not stringent enough to attain applicable water quality standards for chloride.

1.	Earth. Will the proposal result in: a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?	NO
	b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcoming of the soil?	NO
	c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	NO
	d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?	NO
	e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	NO
	f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?	NO
	g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards, such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?	NO
2.	Air. Will the proposal result in:a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?	NO
	b. The creation of objectionable odors?	NO
	c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	NO
3.	Water. Will the proposal result in:a. Changes in currents, or the course of direction or water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	MAYBE
	b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	MAYBE

California Environmental Protection Agency

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html

4.

5.

Environmental Impacts YES MAYBE NO

NO

c. Alterations to the course of flow of flood waters? NO d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body? **MAYBE** e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water NO quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen, or turbidity? f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters? NO g. Change in the quantity or quality of ground waters, either through YES direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations? h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for NO public water supplies? i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as NO flooding or tidal waves? Plant Life. Will the proposal result in: a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of NO plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)? b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species NO of plants? c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to NO the normal replenishment of existing species? d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop? NO Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:

California Environmental Protection Agency

a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of

benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?

animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish,

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html

Environmental Impacts YES MAYBE NO

NO

b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species NO of animals? c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a NO barrier to the migration or movement of animals? d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat? NO 6. Noise. Will the proposal result in: a. Increases in existing noise levels? NO b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? NO Light and Glare. Will the proposal: 7. a. Produce new light or glare? NO 8. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: a. Substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? NO 9. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? NO b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource? NO 10. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal involve: a. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances NO (including, but not limited to: oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? 11. Population. Will the proposal:

California Environmental Protection Agency

a. Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human

population of an area?

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html

Environmental Impacts YES MAYBE NO

MAYBE

12.		Affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	NO
13.	Tr a.	ansportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in: Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	NO
	b.	Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	NO
	c.	Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?	NO
	d.	Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	NO
	e.	Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	NO
	f.	Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	NO
14.	4. Public Service. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:		
		Fire protection?	NO
	b.	Police protection?	NO
	c.	Schools?	NO
	d.	Parks or other recreational facilities?	NO
	e.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	NO
	f.	Other governmental services?	NO

15. Energy. Will the proposal result in:

a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?

California Environmental Protection Agency

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html

Environmental Impacts YES MAYBE NO

b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?

16. Utilities and Service Systems. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:

new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities: a. Power or natural gas?

NO

b. Communications systems?

NO

c. Water?

d. Sewer or septic tanks?

NO

e. Storm water drainage?

NO

f. Solid waste and disposal?

NO

17. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:

a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?

NO

b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?

NO

18. Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in:

a. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public?

NO

b. The creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?

NO

19. Recreation. Will the proposal result in:

a. Impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?

NO

20. Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal:

California Environmental Protection Agency

The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption

For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html

Environmental Impacts YES MAYBE NO

a. Result in the alteration of a significant archeological or historical site structure, object or building?

NO

21. Mandatory Findings of Significance

Potential to degrade: Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

NO

Short-term: Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time, while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)

NO

Cumulative: Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.)

NO

Substantial adverse: Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

NO

California Environmental Protection Agency

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

Expand on all "YES" and "MAYBE" answers given to the preceding questions in regard to environmental impacts. The evaluation shall consider whether the environmental impact indicated will have a substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the activity. In addition, the evaluation should discuss environmental effects in proportion to their severity and probability of occurrence. (Use additional pages if necessary.)

3. Water. Will the proposal result in:

a. Changes in currents, or the course of direction or water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?

MAYBE

The TMDL implementation may result in modifications to existing wastewater treatment operations. When installed, the new plants may have different discharge locations or discharge different volumes that could change creek flow (i.e., currents), but is unlikely to change the direction of water movement. Modifications will be permitted and regulated under the NPDES permit process.

b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?

MAYBE

The TMDL implementation may result in modifications to existing wastewater treatment operations. Discharge locations may be changed and discharge volumes may be reduced slightly. Treatment via reverse osmosis or microfiltration may result in a slight loss of effluent to brine disposal. Losses are expected to be less than 15% of the total effluent flow. Modifications to discharges of wastewater will be permitted and regulated under the NPDES permit process. RO treatment of wastewater may increase the demand for reclaimed water resulting in a decreased discharge to the creek.

d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?

MAYBE

The Basin Plan Amendment may result in modifications to existing wastewater treatment operations. Discharge locations may be changed and discharge volumes may be reduced slightly. Treatment via reverse osmosis or microfiltration may result in a slight loss of effluent to brine disposal. Losses are expected to be less than 15% of the total effluent flow. Modifications to discharges of wastewater will be permitted and regulated under the NPDES permit process.

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

g. Change in the quantity or quality of ground waters, either through YES direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?

The TMDL Implementation is expected to improve the quality of shallow groundwater that is recharged by surface flow.

- 15. Will the proposal result in:
 - a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?

MAYBE

The treatment method likely to be employed as a result of the TMDL implementation is driven by electricity and is energy intensive. However, newer technologies reportedly are more energy efficient.

b. Substantial increase in the demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?

MAYBE

It is not likely that treatment employed as a result of the TMDL implementation Basin Plan amendment would require the development of new sources of electricity.

16. Utilities and Service Systems. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:

c. Water? MAYBE

The TMDL implementation may result in modifications to existing wastewater treatment operations. When installed the new plants may have different discharge locations or amounts and create changes in the direction of water movement. Modifications will be permitted and regulated under the NPDES permit process.

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

XI find the proposed Basin Plan amendment to incorporate the Calleguas Creek Chloride TMDL could not have a significant effect on the environment.

I find that the proposed Basin Plan amendment to incorporate the Calleguas Creek Chloride TMDL could have a significant adverse effect on the environment. However, there are feasible alternatives and/or feasible mitigation measures that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact. These alternatives are discussed in the attached written report.

_I find the proposed Basin Plan amendment to incorporate the Calleguas Creek Chloride TMDL may have a significant effect on the environment. There are no feasible alternatives and/or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts. See the attached written report for a discussion of this determination.

DATE:

Dennis A. Dickerson Executive Officer